## 01578

1992/12/10

## 

## UNCLASSIFIED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

INCOMIN

PAGE BI OF BE

#2333# DCG779 1#/12342

ACTION: 3132 1811

INFO: REV (01) 4488 (81) 4478 (91) 1088 (01) 528 (81) REA (81) 534 (81) CHIN (81) 5PS (81)

OO RUCPDO
DE RUEMBJ #9552/D1 3451235

IMR UUUUU IZH
O 181236Z DEC 32
FM AMEMBASSY BEIJING
TO RUCPDOJUCCIC WASHOO IMMEDIATE
INFO RUEHC/SECTIATE WASHOO PRIORITY 3786
RUEHHX/AMCONSUL HONG KONG 5297
RUEHS/AMCONSUL SHANGHAI 4474
RUEHG/AMCONSUL SHANGHAI 4474
RUEHG/AMCONSUL CHANGIHOU 4814
EEN/AMCONSUL CHANGIHOU 4814

DRIJERSTANDANDE ROPE DRIEBLE ROP DEGEN 4403/187/EAP/DAS 1404H 4418/187/CAP/DANDANDANDE ST

UNCLAS SECTION BY OF CO BEILDING BORDS

E.O. 12356: K/A
TAGE: BEXP, CK, ETRO
SUBJECT: JCCT TRACE AND INVESTMENT VORKING GROUP
CHIMESE SALES CONTRACT PRACTICES

1. SUMMARY: CESCRIBED BELOW ARE REPRESENTATIVE CASES WHERE U.S. BUSINESSMEN ARE ENSCUNTERING PROBLEMS IMPLEMENTING THEIR CONTRACTS FOR SALE OF GOODS WITH CHINESE DREAMINATIONS. THESE ARE KON-1011 CASES WHICH HAY NOT INDIVIDUALLY HERIT DISCUSSION BY JOST. HOVEVER, THE ISSUES PRESENTED IN THESE CASES ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF TOOL TEAL BUT MI GIZZUSZIG BRBY HOLHY EMBLHORK IN 1918 AND REMAIN UNRESCLIVED. THE JOST CASES WHICH WILL BE DISCUSSED ILLUSTRATE THE ISSUES OF AT EMPORCEMENT OF FOREIGN COURT JUDGEMENTS IN CHINA, B) REPUSAL TO ACCEPT CUSTOR RACE GOODS. AND C) FAILURE BY A CHINESE IMPORTER TO PAY ROYALTY FEES OUE TO ENDUSER'S FAILURE TO PAY THE IMPORTER. THE CASES BELOW PRESENT THE ISSUES OF AT PAILURE TO HONOR COLLECTION DOCUMENTS. EMETHER INFOUCH LETTER OF CREDIT OR DOCUMENTARY COLLECTION PROCESURES. B) FAILURE TO SHIP GOODS WHICH CONFORM TO CONTRACTUAL SPECIFICATIONS, AND E) DENIAL OF LIABILITY FOR FAILURE TO SHIP GOODS. WE SUGGEST THAT THESE GENERAL CONTRACTUAL ISSUES COULD BE A BACKDROP FOR JOIT WORKING CROUP DISCUSSION OF JEST CASES. END SUMMARY.

2. MORE OF THE FIRMS METIONED BELOW IN THE REPRESENTATIVE CASES HAVE AUTHORIZED USE OF THEIR MANES DURING THE JOST TRADE AND INVESTMENT WORKING GROUP ITIPUS. HOWEVER, THEIR AUTHORIZATION COULD BE OBTAINED IF USDOC BELIEVES THE CASES MERIT INDIVIDUAL MENTION BY JOST.

REPRESENTATIVE CASES

ILLUSTRATES THE ISSUE OF FAILURE TO HONOR
COLLECTION DOCUMENTS. IT ALSO DEPOSITRATES NOW
DIFFIGURE IT IS TO DETAIN PAYMENT FROM SOME

CHIMESE BUYERS, PARTICULARLY WHERE THE EHDUSER HAS FAILED TO PAY THE CHIMESE OFFITER. THIS CASE IS SIMILAR TO THE CHIMESE HAS FAILED TO PAY THE CHIMESE INDUSER HAS FAILED TO PAY THE CHIMESE INPORTER DOES NOT PAY

THE AMERICAN EXPORTER.

6. FACTS - THE AMERICAN FIRM CLAIMS PAYMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF USD \$4,775.74 FROM THE THINESE IMPORTER OR EMOUSER FOR SALE OF

THE EQUIPMENT WAS SKIPPED ON 1 HOVEMBER 1991. THE BANK OF CHINA DID NOT HONOR THE LETTER OF CREDIT COLLECTION DOCUMENTS RECAUSE THEY ALLECEDLY CONTAINED TOO HANY, DISCREPSHOIES, THE EQUIPMENT THROUGH AM AMERICAN DISTRIBUTOR AND IS NOT A DIRECT BENEFICIERY OF THE LETTER OF CREDIT OR A CONTRACTING PARTY FOR THE SALE OF THE EQUIPMENT TO THE CHINESE BUTER. THE DISTRIBUTOR DID NOT OBTAIN PAYMENT FACH THE ENDUSER. THE DISTRIBUTOR HAS RECENTLY SUFFERED FROM A HEART ATTACK AND WILL NOT RECOVER FROM A COMMA. (!) ALTHOUGH -: AIGHTS AND REMEDIES UNDER U.S. LAV. HE IS APPARENTLY UNABLE TO LITIGATE BECAUSE THE DISTRIBUTOR HAS NO ASSETS AND IS UNABLE TO RELEASE DOCUMENTS UNION VOULD CLAIR TO PAYMENT DIRECTLY SUBSTANTIATE FROM THE ENGUSER.

S. RENEDY

CHINESE AUTHORITIES TO COMPEL THE ENGUSER TO PAY
HIM DIRECTLY. ALTHOUGH IT WOULD BE MOST
APPROPRIATE FOR COMPEL THE DISTRIBUTOR
IN THE U.S., THIS IS IMPOSSIBLE. MEVERTHELESS,
THE EMBUSER STILL MAS AN OBLIGATION TO PAY FOR
THE EQUIPMENT AND MAS NOT DOME SO. BICAUSE
RESSLER IS A CREDITOR EMPERICIARY OF THE CHINESE
SALES CONTRACT, HE IS ENTITLED TO PAYMENT. THE
EMBUSER IS BEING UNJUSTLY EMPICHED THROUGH USE
OF EQUIPMENT WHICK IT DID NOT PAY FOR.

E. STATE CASE ALSO
ILLUSTRATES CAINETE SELLER'S FAILURE TO HOHOR
COLLECTION DOSUMENTS AND UNDUST ENRICHMENT BY
USE OF EQUIPMENT WHICH IT WAS AGT PA
CHINESE SALES CONTRACT PRACTICES

ID FOR. IN
THIS PROBLEM, THE CHINESE SELLER INDUCED
RELIANCE BY THE ATTENTION TO ACCEPT PAYMENT
YNBOUGH THE DOCUMENTARY COLLECTIONS PROCESS;
THEN LATER REFUSED TO TENDER PAYMENT WHEN THE
BANK RECEIVED THE COLLECTION GOODMENTS. THE
CHINESE BUYER HAS BREACHED ITS OBLIGATION TO
MAKE TIMELY PAYMENT; WHICH IS SIX HONTHS PAST
DUE.

7. FACTS

SOFTWARE ON 31 MARCH 1932, VALUED AT USD

338,698. THE PATHENT VAS TO BE MADE 'D/P AT

SIGHT', MEANING THE DOCUMENTARY COLLECTION
PROCESS. MOTE UNDER D/P NO MONEY MAG BEEN SET

ASIDE BY THE BUYER. D/P PATHENT IS A MIGH RISK
FORM OF PATHENT BECOUSE THERE IS NO ASSUMANCE OF
PATHENT.

SOFT CHIMAL PATHENT. DUR OFFICE ALSO WROTE TO THE
BUYER PATHENT. OUR OFFICE ALSO WROTE TO THE
BUXER CHIMAL PEADQUARTERS IN BEIJING. UNDER

D/P, MAINAN BANK MAS NO OBLICATION TO DETAIND
PATHENT FROM THE BUYER, IT MERELY TRANSMITS

## UNCLASSIFIED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

INCOMIN TELEGRAI

PAGE 32 OF 32

PATHENT.

THE SHIPPING OCCUMENTS TO THE BUYER VITNOUT

DETAINING PATHENT, BUT, IT CANNOT SUBSTANTIATE

ROW THE BUYER ACTUALLY OBTAINED THESE

DOCUMENTS. CHIMESE CUSTOMS ALSO COULD HAVE

DECIDED TO RELEASE THE GOODS. THE CHIMESE BUYER

MAS STATED IN LETTERS TO

WISHES TO PAY WHEN THE EXCHANGE RATE IS MORE

FAVORABLE CLOWERS. THE BUYER HAS DISREGARDED ITS

DELIGATION TO TENDER PAYMENT UPON PRESENTATION

OF DOCUMENTS, WHICH OCCURRED SIX MONTHS AGO.

- 7. REPEDY FEQUETYS THAT THE BANK COLLECT PAYMENT, WHICH THE BANK MAS NO DELIGATION TO DO.
- E. THIS CASE
  ILLUSTRATES CHIMESE BUYER'S FAILURE TO SUPPLY
  GOODS AND DENIAL OF LIABILITY FOR DAMAGES
  RESULTING THEREFROM. THIS CASE IS SIMILAR TO
  THE PRIOR JCCT CASE ON GULF TRADING. IN THESE
  THREE CASES, ARBITRATION WAS RESESSARY TO FORCE
  THE CHIMESE BUYER TO PAY COMPENSATION FOR
  FAILURE TO SHIP GOODS.
- S. FACTS THE CONTRACT CALLS FOR SHIPMENT OF 64 METRIC TONS OF YELLOW CROCKER FISH BY 38 MARCY 1392. THE SELLER SKIPPED ONLY 22.7 METRIC TONS AND HAS REFUSED TO SHIP ANY MORE. THE FIRM CLAIMS USD 65, 988 IN CHAMBES RESULTING FROM THE CHAMES SELLER'S FAILURE TO SHIP ALL THE GOODS US STIPULATED IN THE CONTRACT.

WASTE TO GALIAN COPIT AND THE EMBASSY REQUESTING ASSESTANCE. THE COMMERCIAL SECTION WROTE TO COPIT AND COPERT. THE CHINESE SELLER WAS ENCOURAGED TO SETTLE THE DAMAGE CLAIM OR SHIP THE FISH. THE ENDUSER DID HOTHING. FERRIVED IN DALIAN ON 7 DEC 32 TO DISCUSS A SETTLEMENT. AT A LOCAL RESTAURANT THAT EVENING, THE FIRST'S PRESIDENT STRUCK WITH A BEER SOTTLE BY THE CHINESE FISH SUPPLIER AND NOV FEARS FOR HIS SAFETY. BELIEVES HE WAS ASSEMUTED AT THE REQUEST OF THE CHINESE SELLER TO INTIMIDATE HIM AND DISCOURAGE MIN FROM PURSUING HIS CLAIMS FURTHER. THE COMMERCIAL SECTION ADVISED CEPIT OF ARRIVAL IN DALIAN, DESIRE TO SETTLE, AND FEAR OF 11. REMEDY -SE OF STRAW

11. REMEDY WENTS TO BE COMPENSATED FOR ITS LOSSES IN THE AMOUNT OF USD 65,888.

12.
THE DIFFICULTY SHALL TRADING FIRMS ENCOUNTER
WHEN THEY RECEIVE DEFECTIVE GOODS FROM A CHINESE
STLLER. RECAUSE THE THE CHINESE SUPPLIER HAS
SUPPLIED THE DEFECTIVE GOODS, THE CHINESE
EXPORTER REFUSES TO COMPENSATE THE AMERICAN
BUYER. I

CHIRESE SALES CONTRACT PRACTICES

11. FACTS CONTRACTED TO UNCHASE LARPS AND LIGHT BULES ACCORDING TO ESTAIN EMECIFICATIONS, ONE OF THICK WAS THAT THE ITEMS OPERATE ON LIG CURPENCY OF CHIMESE 228 CURRENCY CONTRACTS FOR DEFECTIVE SEAS AND IMADEGUATE PACKAGING FROM THE COMMERCIAL SECTION MAS

#2333# DC0773

WRITTEN TO THE HUMAN COMMISSION ON FOREIGN ECONOMIC RELATIONS AND TRADE (COFERT) FOUR TIMES AND SUPPLIED FULL DOCUMENTATION OF THE CLAIM TWICE. WE ALSO WROTE TO THE VAIRAN FOR HUMAN, WE MAVE NOT RECEIVED A RESPONSE DESPITE OUR MUMEROUS INQUIRIES.

14. REMEDY - PAYMENT OF DAMAGES IN OF USD 18, 588.

THE FIRM CLAIMS
DAMAGES, IN THE ANOUNT OF USD 78, 228, DUE TO
RECEIPT OF DEFECTIVE GODDS FROM GUANGDONG ARTS
AND CRAFTS I/E CORP. THIS FIRM HAS BEEN UNABLE
TO RECOVER ITS BUSINESS LOSS.

16. IF THESE CONTRACTUAL ISSUES ARE TO BE DISCUSSED BY JCCT YORKING GROUPS, WE SHOULD SOLICIT SUGGESTIONS FROM MOFERT CONCERNING NOW AMERICAN FIRMS SKOULD HANDLE THESE PROBLEMS. WE BAYE ATTEMPTED TO YORK WITH PROVINCIAL COPERTS BUT HAVE NOT HAD HUCK SUCCESS. AMERICAN FIRMS ARE STILL RELUCTANT TO RESCRIT TO ARBITRATION OR LITIGATION IN CHIMA BECAUSE THEY DOUBT THE DESIGNITY AND COMPETENCE OF THESE LEGAL FORUMS. GGS: ESULLIVANIEST.